

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,571	01/30/2004	Thomas R. Apel	008.P001	8895	
Joseph Pugh	7590 04/10/2007 Joseph Pugh			EXAMINER	
2300 NE Brook	kwood Parkway	WARREN, MATTHEW E			
Hillsoboro, OR 97124			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/769,571	APEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Matthew E. Warren	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provision of the state	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ The						
• ***	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Nor election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
application from the International Bure		·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.				
		•				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/769,571

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Remarks filed on January 12, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US 5,266,819) in view of Chau et al. (US 5,512,496).

In re claim 1, Chang et al. shows (figs. 7 and 8) an alternate embodiment for an integrated circuit comprising: a bipolar junction transistor in which a base contact region (61") forms a fishbone configuration and an emitter region (61') is adjacent to the periphery of the fishbone configuration. Chang shows all of the elements of the claims except the fishbone configuration having a spine and at least one finger that extends from one side and at least one finger that extends from a second side of the spine.

Chau et al. shows (fig. 12A) a bipolar transistor having a base contact region (transmission line 1208) having a fishbone configuration wherein the spine of the fishbone has a base finger (1212) that extends from one side and at least one base finger (1212) that extends from a second side of the spine. With this configuration a high power multiple finger transistor is formed that eliminates the requirement for airbridges that add process difficulty and cost (col. 8, lines 1-12). Therefore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/769,571

Art Unit: 2815

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the base contact region of Chang by forming the base fingers on both sides of the spine as taught by Chau to form a high power transistor that eliminates the requirement for airbridges that add process difficulty and cost.

In re claim 2, Chang shows (figs. 8) that an emitter contact region (E) has an isomorphic shape with respect to the emitter region and is in direct physical contact with the top surface of the emitter region. The contact (E) has the same rectangular shape as the emitter region portion below it and is therefore isomorphic.

In re claims 3 and 4, Chang discloses (col. 4, lines 65-67) that the contact regions comprise conductive material such as metal.

In re claims 5, 6, and 12, Chang discloses (col. 5, lines 67) that the transistor comprises AlGaAs and GaAs and may be a heterojunction bipolar transistor.

In re claim 7, Chang shows (fig. 7) that the base region contacting tab is embedded within an extension (portion marked B) from a spine of the fishbone configuration.

In re claims 8-11, pertaining to the types of devices that the bipolar transistor is employed in, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F. 2d 1647 (1987). Furthermore, amplifiers and cell phones are merely known devices which may employ a bipolar transistor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2815

modify the bipolar transistor of Chang by using it in a power amplifier and/or cell phone to enable those devices to operate to increase the operating frequency.

In re claims 13 and 14, Chang does not specifically disclose the specific length or width of the extensions or the distance between the base and emitter regions. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the length or width of the fishbone extensions or the distance between the base and emitter regions of the desired parameters, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

In re claims 15 and 16, Chau shows (fig. 12A) that the fishbone configuration includes at least six extensions connected to the spine:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 1-16 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant primarily asserts that Chang in view of Chau do not disclose all of the elements of the claims. The examiner believes that the prior art references show all of the elements of the claims. As stated in the rejection above, Chau was cited to cure the deficiencies of Chang by disclosing the base contact region forming a fishbone configuration having a spine with base fingers that extend from a first and second side of the spine. The applicant asserts that Chau does not disclose a base contact region having a spine because the base contact fingers (1212) of the device extend from an input transmission line (1208). However, the

Art Unit: 2815

input transmission line is considered the base contact region having the spine since Chau specifically states that "base contact fingers" extend from first and second sides of the line (col. 7, lines 62-63). Even if the transmission line (1208) of Chau were not a base contact, the invention is still applicable because at the very least, Chau shows a layer having a spine configuration in which electrodes or contacts extend from both sides of the spine like a fishbone. Certainly, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to apply the concept to a bipolar transistor contact region or any other device having multiple contacts for the benefits recited in Chau. Therefore, the prior art references show all of the elements of the claims, and this action is made final.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,571

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Warren whose telephone number is (571) 272-1737. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and alternating Fri 9:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MEW
MEW
March 30, 2007

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6